| ATTACHMENT 2   |  |   |  |   |   |  |   |  |  |
|----------------|--|---|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|
|                |  |   | INARY DRAFT - SUMMARY OF CONCEPTUA<br>etween alternatives. The alternatives includ   |   |   | EIR for each alternative)  |   |  |  |
| DELTA PLAN     | PROPOSED PROJECT<br>(Based on Fourth Staff Draft Delta Plan)   |   | ALTERNATIVE 1 - INCREASED EMPHASIS ON USE OF DELTA FOR RELIABLE WATER SUPPLIES   |   | ALTERNATIVE 2 - INCREASED EMPHASIS ON DELTA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION   |  | ALTERNATIVE 3 - INCREASED EMPHASIS ON PROTECTION AND ENHANCEMENT OF DELTA   |  |  |
| POLICY AREAS   |  |   | ALTERNATIVE 1A<br>(Based upon comments received from<br>users of Delta water)  | ALTERNATIVE 1B<br>(Based on "Alternate Delta Plan" -<br>Association of California Water<br>Agencies, June 10, 2011)   | ALTERNATIVE 2A (Based upon comments received from environmental resource agencies and stakeholders)   | ALTERNATIVE 2B (Based on "California Water Solutions Now" - Environmental Water Caucus, 2011)  | COMMUNITIES AND CULTURE (Based upon comments received from Delta communities and agencies)  |  |  |
| Reliable Water | Reliable Water Supplies  | Reliable Water Supplies   | Reliable Water Supplies  | Reliable Water Supplies   | Reliable Water Supplies   | Reliable Water Supplies  | Reliable Water Supplies   |  |  |
| Supply         | * More emphasis than Existing Conditions on water use efficiency and development of local and regional water supplies to reduce reliance on Delta exports.  * Recommendation to Department of Water Resources to complete Bay- Delta Conservation Plan Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP) and Natural Communities Conservation Plan (NCCP) with a full evaluation of a robust set of alternatives by December 31, 2014.  * Recommendation to Department of Water Resources to complete evaluation of Surface Water Storage Investigations projects.  * More emphasis than Existing Conditions on small-scale storage projects that could be implemented more quickly than larger reservoirs.  * No Recommendations or Policies are identified regarding selection or implementation of Specific conveyance options and/or specific storage options. 1 | Less emphasis than Proposed Project on water use efficiency and development of local and regional water supplies - continued use of existing water supply facilities.  Conveyance and Storage  Department of Water Resources to complete the Bay-Delta Conservation Plan HCP and NCCP and the Surface Water Storage Investigation projects.  Less emphasis than Proposed Project on small-scale storage projects. | Less emphasis than Proposed Project on water use efficiency and development of local and regional water supplies to existing users of Delta water supplies.  Conveyance and Storage  Recommendations to Department of Water Resources to consider, as a priority option, construction of a Dual Conveyance project to convey water from the North Delta to existing Delta export pumping plants and continue to convey water from the existing South Delta intakes to existing Delta export pumping plants.  Recommendations to Department of Water Resources to consider, as a priority option, implementation of a combination of reservoirs on the Sacramento and San Joaquin River and expanded Los Vaqueros Reservoir, and complete currently planned local surface and groundwater storage facilities. | * More emphasis than Proposed Project on transferring conserved water between water users.  * Similar emphasis as Proposed Project on conjunctive use programs and water recycling.  * Recommendations to Department of Water Resources to consider, as a priority option, preventative maintenance of Delta levees that are critical to continued conveyance of water supplies through the Delta.  * Recommendation to Department of Water Resources to complete Bay-Delta Conservation Plan (HCP) and Natural Conservation Plan (HCP) and Natural Communities Conservation of a robust set of alternatives by January 1, 2014.  * Recommendation to Department of Water Resources to complete evaluation of Surface Water Storage Investigations projects by December 31, 2012. | * More emphasis than Proposed Project on water use efficiency and development of local and regional water supplies to eliminate reliance on Delta exports except for San Joaquin Valley wildlife refuges and San Joaquin River Exchange Contractors.  **Conveyance and Storage**  **Recommendations to Department of Water Resources to consider, as a priority option, construction of an Isolated Conveyance to convey water from the North Delta to existing Delta export pumping plants with abandonment of existing South Delta intakes.  **Less emphasis than Proposed Project on Surface Water Storage Investigations projects to eliminate these proposed projects.  * More emphasis than Proposed Project on small-scale storage projects. | * More emphasis than Proposed Project on water use efficiency, retirement of irrigated lands with poor drainage, and development of local and regional water supplies to reduce reliance on Delta exports by 70 percent except for San Joaquin Valley wildlife refuges and San Joaquin River Exchange Contractors.  **Conveyance and Storage**  * Recommendations to Department of Water Resources to consider, as a priority option, construction of irrigation efficient measures throughout the east side of the San Joaquin Valley and construction of a conveyance facility to transfer conserved water to the west side of the San Joaquin Valley.  * Recommendations to Department of Water Resources to consider, as a priority option, construction of a reservoir in the Tulare Lake to store water from the Kern, Kings, Kaweah, Tule, and Kern rivers and construction of a conveyance facility to connect this facility to the California Aqueduct to convey water to existing users of Delta water located in southern California.  * Less emphasis than Proposed Project on Surface Water Storage Investigations projects by not constructing these reservoirs.  * Less emphasis than Proposed Project on small-scale storage projects. | * Same as Alternative 2B.  Conveyance and Storage  * Recommendations to Department of Water Resources to consider, as a priority option, construction of a Through Delta Conveyance to convey water from the North Delta through Delta Cross Channel and Georgiana Slough, southern Mokelumne River, Middle River, Victoria Canal, and Clifton Court Forebay to existing Delta export pumping plants; construction of operable barriers to create a water supply corridor in Middle River and a fish passage corridor in Old River; construction of operable barriers near the Mokelumne River confluence with Sacramento River to facilitate fish passage in Mokelumne River; and construction of improved fish protection facilities at the existing South Delta intakes for continued seasonal use of these facilities.  * Less emphasis than Proposed Project on Surface Water Storage Investigations projects.  * More emphasis than Proposed Project on small-scale storage projects. |  |  |

June 14, 2011

Not Reviewed or Approved by Delta Stewardship Council
Administrative Draft Subject to Revision

NOTE: As explained in more detail in Appendix A to the Delta Plan, the Bay Delta Conservation Plan (BDCP) is a process currently underway, and generally independent from this current Delta Plan development process. The BDCP will have its own Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement (EIR/EIS), expected in 2012. Accordingly, the Delta Plan EIR will discuss at a general level, the reasonably foreseeable scope of the BDCP and related EIR/EIS.

| ATTACHMENT 2                   |  |   |  |  |  |  |   |  |
|--------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|--|
|                                | (  | PRELIMI<br>This table summarizes major differences be   | NARY DRAFT - SUMMARY OF CONCEPTUA<br>etween alternatives. The alternatives include   |  |  | EIR for each alternative)  |   |  |
| DELTA PLAN                     |  | NO PROJECT ALTERNATIVE  | ALTERNATIVE 1 - INCREASED EMPHASIS ON USE OF DELTA FOR RELIABLE WATER SUPPLIES   |  | ALTERNATIVE 2 - INCREASED EMPHASIS ON DELTA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION  |  | ALTERNATIVE 3 - INCREASED<br>EMPHASIS ON PROTECTION AND<br>ENHANCEMENT OF DELTA   |  |
| POLICY AREAS                   |  |   | ALTERNATIVE 1A<br>(Based upon comments received from<br>users of Delta water)  | ALTERNATIVE 1B<br>(Based on "Alternate Delta Plan" -<br>Association of California Water<br>Agencies, June 10, 2011)                                  | ALTERNATIVE 2A<br>(Based upon comments received from<br>environmental resource agencies and<br>stakeholders)   | ALTERNATIVE 2B<br>(Based on "California Water Solutions<br>Now" - Environmental Water Caucus,<br>2011)   | COMMUNITIES AND CULTURE (Based upon comments received from Delta communities and agencies)  |  |
| Delta Ecosystem<br>Restoration | * More emphasis than Existing  | More Natural Flow Regime  * Less emphasis than Proposed Project   | More Natural Flow Regime  * Less emphasis than Proposed Project  | * Less emphasis than Proposed Project  * Less emphasis than Proposed Project   | * More emphasis than Proposed Project  * More emphasis than Proposed Project   | * More emphasis than Proposed Project  * More emphasis than Proposed Project   | * More emphasis than Proposed Project  * More emphasis than Proposed Project  |  |
|                                | Conditions with recommendations to the State Water Resources Control   | - State Water Resources Control<br>Board to use existing schedules for the  | - same as No Project Alternative.  | - same as No Project Alternative.  | with recommendations to the State Water Resources Control Board to use   | with recommendations to the State Water Resources Control Board to use   | with recommendations to the State Water Resources Control Board to use  |  |
|                                | Board to use aggressive schedules for the development of flow criteria and   | development of flow criteria and flow objectives, if appropriate, for the Delta   | Improving Habitat  | Improving Habitat  | aggressive schedules for the development of flow criteria and flow   | aggressive schedules for the development of flow criteria and flow   | aggressive schedules for the development of flow criteria and flow  |  |
|                                | flow objectives, if appropriate, for the Delta and upstream tributaries to restore the natural hydrograph. Focus                 | and upstream tributaries.  Improving Habitat  | Less emphasis than Proposed Project<br>on ecosystem restoration throughout<br>the Delta. Initial focus on specific                                     | Less emphasis than Proposed Project<br>on ecosystem restoration throughout<br>the Delta. Initial focus on specific                                   | objectives, if appropriate, for the Delta<br>and upstream tributaries to restore the<br>natural hydrograph. Additional efforts                                 | objectives, if appropriate, for the Delta<br>and upstream tributaries to restore the<br>natural hydrograph. Additional efforts                                   | objectives, if appropriate, for the Delta<br>and upstream tributaries to restore the<br>natural hydrograph. Focus on flow                                 |  |
|                                | on flow objectives that balance the needs of all beneficial uses in accordance with existing regulations.                        | Less emphasis than Proposed Project<br>on ecosystem restoration throughout<br>the Delta. Initial focus on specific                        | areas of the Delta to increase large<br>areas of interconnected floodplain and<br>riparian habitats, including areas in<br>Cache Sloudh, Dutch Sloudh, | ongoing and planned programs in<br>Prospect Island, Little Holland Tract,<br>and Yolo Ranch in Cache Slough;<br>Dutch Sloudh: and Tule Red in Suisun | to support ecosystem restoration by<br>establishment of flow objectives<br>without necessarily balancing the<br>needs of other beneficial uses if these        | to support ecosystem restoration by<br>establishment of flow objectives based<br>upon the highest priority for instream<br>beneficial uses for federal and State | objectives that balance the needs of all<br>beneficial uses, especially in-Delta<br>beneficial uses.  |  |
|                                | Improving Habitat  | ongoing programs in Cache Slough, Cosumnes-Mokelumne confluence.  | Cosumnes-Mokelumne confluence, Lower San Joaquin River, Suisun   | Marsh.   | efforts would reduce potential ecosystem benefits.   | listed threatened or endangered aquatic species.   | Improving Habitat   |  |
|                                | More emphasis than Existing     Conditions on ecosystem restoration     throughout the Delta and the use of                      | Lower San Joaquin River, Suisun<br>Marsh, and Yolo Bypass.  | Marsh, and Yolo Bypass.  * Less emphasis than Proposed Project   | Less emphasis than Proposed Project<br>to utilize setback levees by focusing<br>this levee type where feasible based                                 | Improving Habitat  | Improving Habitat  | More emphasis than Proposed Project<br>with additional focus on ecosystem<br>restoration within historical floodplains                                    |  |
|                                | adaptive management for the implementation of restoration actions. Initial focus on Cache Slough, Cosumnes-Mokelumne confluence. | Less emphasis than Proposed Project to utilize setback levees by focusing this levee type where feasible based upon land use and economic | to utilize setback levees by focusing<br>this levee type where feasible based<br>upon land use and economic<br>considerations and potential for        | upon land use and economic considerations - same as No Project Alternative.  | More emphasis than Proposed Project<br>with additional focus on ecosystem<br>restoration for recovery of sustainable<br>native species populations and habitat | Less emphasis on the extent of<br>ecosystem restoration throughout the<br>Delta and Suisun Marsh.  | and riparian corridors, less emphasis<br>on restoration of existing agricultural<br>lands, and more emphasis on<br>integrated upland wildlife habitat and |  |
|                                | Lower San Joaquin River, Suisun<br>Marsh, and Yolo Bypass; and levee<br>design criteria to increase the                          | considerations.  * Less emphasis than Proposed Project  | environmental restoration.  * Less emphasis than Proposed Project  | Less emphasis than Proposed Project<br>on vegetation on the water-side of<br>levees through implementation of  | conditions that would reduce non-<br>native species populations.   | Less emphasis than Proposed Project<br>for the use of setback levees.  | agricultural operations.  * Utilization of setback levees to  |  |
|                                | floodplain and riparian habitats.  * More emphasis than Existing   | on vegetation on the water-side of<br>levees through implementation of<br>proposed U.S. Army Corps of                                     | on vegetation on the water-side of<br>levees through implementation of<br>proposed U.S. Army Corps of  | proposed U.S. Army Corps of<br>Engineers criteria - same as No<br>Project Alternative.   | Utilization of setback levees to<br>increase ecosystem restoration - same<br>as Proposed Project.  | More emphasis than Proposed Project<br>to remove levees to expand the<br>floodplain.   | increase ecosystem restoration - same as Proposed Project.  |  |
|                                | Conditions with recommendations to the Department of Water Resources and U.S. Army Corps of Engineers to                         | Engineers criteria.  Reducing Threats and Stresses  | Engineers criteria - same as No<br>Project Alternative.  | Reducing Threats and Stresses  | Vegetation on the water-side of levees     - same as Proposed Project.   | Vegetation on the water-side of levees     - same as Proposed Project.   | Vegetation on the water-side of levees     - same as Proposed Project.  |  |
|                                | utilize setback levees to expand the floodplain.   | Less emphasis than Proposed Project on implementation of actions to reduce  | * Same as Proposed Project.  | More emphasis than Proposed Project<br>on implementation of actions to reduce<br>non-native invasive species with                                    | Reducing Threats and Stresses  | Reducing Threats and Stresses  | Reducing Threats and Stresses  * More emphasis than Proposed Project  |  |
|                                | More emphasis than Existing     Conditions to establish vegetation on the water-side of levees.                                  | non-native invasive species based<br>upon existing schedules for<br>development and implementation of                                     | Came as i reposed i reject.  | additional efforts related to reduction of<br>striped bass populations in the Delta<br>reduction of the conditions that could                        | * Same as Proposed Project.  | * Same as Proposed Project.  | with additional efforts on abandoned vessel cleanup.  |  |
|                                | Reducing Threats and Stresses  | programs.   |  | increase the potential of predation to salmonid species, and reduction of  |  |  |   |  |
|                                | * More emphasis than Existing Conditions on implementation of  |   |  | ocean harvest of naturally-spawned fish by commercial fishing industry.  |  |  |   |  |
|                                | actions to reduce non-native invasive species based upon aggressive schedules for development and                                |   |  |  |  |  |   |  |
|                                | implementation of programs.  |   |  |  |  |  |   |  |

| ATTACE        | HMENT 2   | PRELIM  | INARY DRAFT - SUMMARY OF CONCEPTUA   | AL DELTA PLAN DRAFT EIR ALTERNATIVE   | S (Working Draft June 14, 2011)   |   |   |
|---------------|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|
|               |   | This table summarizes major differences b   | etween alternatives. The alternatives include  | e many other provisions and measures. Add   | ditional details will be provided in the Draft  | EIR for each alternative)   |   |
| DELTA PLAN    |   | NO PROJECT ALTERNATIVE  | ALTERNATIVE 1 - INCREASED EMPHASIS ON USE OF DELTA FOR RELIABLE WATER SUPPLIES   |   | ALTERNATIVE 2 - INCREASED EMPHASIS ON DELTA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION                                   |   | ALTERNATIVE 3 - INCREASED EMPHASIS ON PROTECTION AND ENHANCEMENT OF DELTA   |
| POLICY AREAS  |   |   | ALTERNATIVE 1A<br>(Based upon comments received from<br>users of Delta water)  | ALTERNATIVE 1B<br>(Based on "Alternate Delta Plan" -<br>Association of California Water<br>Agencies, June 10, 2011)   | ALTERNATIVE 2A (Based upon comments received from environmental resource agencies and stakeholders) | ALTERNATIVE 2B (Based on "California Water Solutions Now" - Environmental Water Caucus, 2011) | COMMUNITIES AND CULTURE<br>(Based upon comments received from<br>Delta communities and agencies)  |
| Improve Water | Drinking Water Quality  | Drinking Water Quality  | Drinking Water Quality   | Drinking Water Quality  | Drinking Water Quality  | Drinking Water Quality  | Drinking Water Quality  |
| Quality       | * More emphasis than Existing Conditions with recommendations to the State Water Resources Control Board, Department of Water Resources, and Department of Public Health to use aggressive schedules for the completion of ongoing studies to improve drinking water quality, including Central Valley Drinking Water Policy, North Bay Aqueduct Alternate Intake, and prioritization of funding for small and disadvantaged communities.  * More emphasis than Existing Conditions on participation by Delta Watershed diverters or dischargers in the Central Valley Salinity Alternatives for Long-Term Sustainability Program.  Environmental Water Quality  * More emphasis than Existing Conditions on an aggressive schedule for development of water quality objectives and Total Maximum Daily Limits for possible contaminants. | Less emphasis than Proposed Project - State Water Resources Control Board, Department of Water Resources, and Department of Public Health to use existing schedules for the completion of ongoing studies to improve drinking water quality, including Central Valley Drinking Water Policy, North Bay Aqueduct Alternate Intake, and prioritization of funding for small and disadvantaged communities.  * Less emphasis than Proposed Project on participation by Delta Watershed diverters or dischargers in the Central Valley Salinity Alternatives for Long- Term Sustainability Program - continued voluntary participation.  Environmental Water Quality  * Less emphasis than Proposed Project for the development of water quality objectives and Total Maximum Daily Limits for possible contaminants in accordance with existing schedules. | Less emphasis than Proposed Project with recommendations to the State Water Resources Control Board, Department of Water Resources, and Department of Public Health to use existing schedules for the completion of ongoing studies to improve drinking water quality, including Central Valley Drinking Water Policy, North Bay Aqueduct Alternate Intake, and prioritization of funding for small and disadvantaged communities - same as No Project Alternative.  Less emphasis than Proposed Project on participation by Delta Watershed diverters or dischargers in the Central Valley Salinity Alternatives for Long-Term Sustainability Program - continued voluntary participation - same as No Project Alternative.  Environmental Water Quality  * Same as Proposed Project. | Less emphasis than Proposed Project with recommendations to the State Water Resources Control Board, Department of Water Resources, and Department of Public Health to use existing schedules for the completion of ongoing studies to improve drinking water quality, including Central Valley Drinking Water Policy, North Bay Aqueduct Alternate Intake, and prioritization of funding for small and disadvantaged communities - same as No Project Alternative.  Less emphasis than Proposed Project on participation by Delta Watershed diverters or dischargers in the Central Valley Salinity Alternatives for Long-Term Sustainability Program - continued voluntary participation - same as No Project Alternative.  Environmental Water Quality  Less emphasis than Proposed Project for the development of water quality objectives and Total Maximum Daily Limits for possible contaminants following completion of monitoring programs and evaluation of data. | * Same as Proposed Project.  Environmental Water Quality  * Same as Proposed Project.               | * Same as Proposed Project.  Environmental Water Quality  * Same as Proposed Project.         | Less emphasis than Proposed Project with recommendations to the State Water Resources Control Board, Department of Water Resources, and Department of Public Health to use existing schedules for the completion of ongoing studies to improve drinking water quality, including Central Valley Drinking Water Policy, North Bay Aqueduct Alternate Intake, and prioritization of funding for small and disadvantaged communities - same as No Project Alternative.  Less emphasis than Proposed Project on participation by Delta Watershed diverters or dischargers in the Central Valley Salinity Alternatives for Long-Term Sustainability Program - continued voluntary participation - same as No Project Alternative.  Environmental Water Quality  More emphasis than Proposed Project to support wildlife friendly, water quality improving agricultural practices.  Less emphasis than Proposed Project for the development of water quality objectives and Total Maximum Daily Limits for possible contaminants following completion of monitoring programs and evaluation of data - same as Alternative 1B. |

3

|                              | (  |  |   | AL DELTA PLAN DRAFT EIR ALTERNATIVES<br>e many other provisions and measures. Add  |  | EIR for each alternative)  |  |
|------------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| DELTA PLAN                   | PROPOSED PROJECT<br>(Based on Fourth Staff Draft Delta Plan)   | NO PROJECT ALTERNATIVE   | ALTERNATIVE 1 - INCREASED EMPHASIS ON USE OF DELTA FOR RELIABLE WATER SUPPLIES  |  | ALTERNATIVE 2 - INCREASED EMPHASIS ON DELTA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION  |  | ALTERNATIVE 3 - INCREASED<br>EMPHASIS ON PROTECTION AND<br>ENHANCEMENT OF DELTA  |
| POLICY AREAS                 |  |  | ALTERNATIVE 1A<br>(Based upon comments received from<br>users of Delta water)   | ALTERNATIVE 1B<br>(Based on "Alternate Delta Plan" -<br>Association of California Water<br>Agencies, June 10, 2011)  | ALTERNATIVE 2A (Based upon comments received from environmental resource agencies and stakeholders)  | ALTERNATIVE 2B (Based on "California Water Solutions Now" - Environmental Water Caucus, 2011)  | COMMUNITIES AND CULTURE (Based upon comments received from Delta communities and agencies)   |
| Reduce Risk of Floods in the | Floodway and Floodplain Protection   | Floodway and Floodplain Protection   | Floodway and Floodplain Protection  | Floodway and Floodplain Protection   | Floodway and Floodplain Protection   | Floodway and Floodplain Protection   | Floodway and Floodplain Protection   |
| Delta                        | More emphasis than Existing     Conditions on protection of floodways     and floodplains from encroachment.     Initial focus on Yolo Bypass,   | * Less emphasis than Proposed Project on protection of floodways and floodplains from encroachment.  | * Same as Proposed Project.  Levee Design Standards   | * Same as Proposed Project.  Levee Design Standards  | * More emphasis than Proposed Project on protection of floodways and floodplains from encroachment.  | * More emphasis than Proposed Project on protection of floodways and floodplains from encroachment.  | <ul> <li>More emphasis than Proposed Project<br/>on protection of floodways by<br/>preventing major subdivisions in these<br/>areas.</li> </ul>  |
|                              | Cosumnes-Mokelumne River confluence, Lower San Joaquin River Flood Bypass.   | Less emphasis than Proposed Project<br>on use of dredging of Delta channels<br>to maintain or increase capacity.   | * Same as Proposed Project.  Prioritization for Levee Construction  | More emphasis than Proposed Project<br>by increasing the level of protection for<br>agricultural lands as compared to<br>existing standards.   | Less emphasis than Proposed Project on use of dredging of Delta channels.      Less emphasis than Proposed Project.  | Less emphasis than Proposed Project on use of dredging of Delta channels.      More emphasis than Proposed Project   | More emphasis than Proposed Project<br>on use of dredging of Delta channels.   |
|                              | More emphasis than Existing     Conditions through dredging of Delta     channels to maintain or increase     capacity.  | Less emphasis than Proposed Project<br>by limiting stockpiled material for major<br>levee repairs, only.   | Less emphasis than Proposed Project.     Focus on projects that economically and sustainably reduce risk. Do not provide funding to potential ecosystem | Less emphasis than Proposed Project     by continued implementation of     existing standards for urban and non-   | Less emphasis than Proposed Project<br>by limiting stockpiled material for major<br>levee repairs, only - No Project<br>Alternative.   | by expanded areas for stockpiled material for minor and major levee repairs.   | * More emphasis than Proposed Project through dredging of Delta channels to maintain or increase capacity.   |
|                              | * More emphasis than Existing  | Levee Design Standards   | restoration areas.  | urban areas.   | Levee Design Standards   | Levee Design Standards   | Levee Design Standards   |
|                              | Conditions through stockpiling of materials for minor and major levee repairs.   | Less emphasis than Proposed Project<br>through less stringent levee design<br>standards for rural residential areas in<br>the Delta - use existing standards.  | Prioritization for Levee Construction  * Same as Proposed Project.  | Less emphasis than Proposed Project<br>by limiting stockpiled material for major<br>levee repairs, only - No Project<br>Alternative.   | Less emphasis than Proposed Project in unincorporated areas due to a prohibition of major subdivisions throughout the unincorporated areas in  | More emphasis than Proposed Project<br>by increasing the level of protection for<br>agricultural lands as compared to<br>existing standards.   |  |
|                              | Levee Design Standards   | Prioritization for Levee Construction  | Emergency Preparedness and<br>Response  | Prioritization for Levee Construction  | the Delta and Suisun Marsh.  | Less emphasis than Proposed Project  | Alternative 2B.  |
|                              | * More emphases than Existing Conditions through more stringent levee design standards for rural residential areas in the Delta to provide protection for the 200-year flood event  * More emphasis than Existing Conditions on levee construction to reduce risk of loss of life, and to protect water supplies, water quality, ecosystem, and critical infrastructure.  * More emphasis than Existing Conditions for Delta-wide emergency preparation and emergency response programs to reduce risk of loss of life with mandatory participation. | Less emphasis than Proposed Project on levee construction to reduce risk of loss of life, and to protect water supplies, water quality, ecosystem, and critical infrastructure - use existing investment priorities that provide higher priorities for agricultural areas.  Emergency Preparedness and Response  Less emphasis than Proposed Project for Delta-focused emergency preparation and emergency response programs to reduce risk of loss of life with voluntary participation.  Reoperation of Upstream Reservoirs  Less emphasis than Proposed Project on modifying flood control management procedures for reservoirs upstream of the Delta to reduce | * Same as Proposed Project.  Reoperation of Upstream Reservoirs  * Same as Proposed Project.  | Less emphasis than Proposed Project. Focus on projects that economically and sustainably reduce risk. Do not provide funding to potential ecosystem restoration areas.  Emergency Preparedness and Response  Less emphasis than Proposed Project for Delta-focused emergency preparation and emergency response programs to reduce risk of loss of life with voluntary participation - same as No Project Alternative.  More emphasis than Proposed Project for Delta-focused emergency preparation and emergency response programs to reduce risk of water supply disruption.  Reoperation of Upstream Reservoirs | Prioritization for Levee Construction      Less emphasis than Proposed Project to protect existing land uses in areas that may be utilized for ecosystem restoration, including Cache Slough, Dutch Slough, Upper Sherman Island, Deeply Subsided Islands, Cosumnes-Mokelumne confluence, Lower San Joaquin River, and Suisun Marsh.      More emphasis than Proposed Project to protect non-urban and urban residential areas through prohibition of major subdivisions throughout the unincorporated areas in the Delta and Suisun Marsh.      Emergency Preparedness and Response      Less emphasis than Proposed Project for Delta-focused emergency preparation and emergency response | by continued implementation of existing standards for urban and non-urban areas.  * Less emphasis than Proposed Project in unincorporated areas with future non-urban or urban development potential by preventing major subdivision projects to reduce future flood risks.  Prioritization for Levee Construction  * More emphasis than Proposed Project to protect existing agricultural land uses and existing incorporated areas.  Emergency Preparedness and Response  * Less emphasis than Proposed Project for Delta-focused emergency preparation and emergency response programs to reduce risk of loss of life | Less emphasis than Proposed Project by continued implementation of existing standards for urban and non-urban areas - same as Alternative 2B.      Less emphasis than Proposed Project in unincorporated areas with future non-urban or urban development potential by preventing major subdivision projects to reduce future flood risks - same as Alternative 2B.      Prioritization for Levee Construction      More emphasis than Proposed Project to protect existing agricultural land uses and existing incorporated areas.      More emphasis than Proposed Project to protect upland habitat areas.      Emergency Preparedness and Response |
|                              | * More emphasis than Existing Conditions on modifying flood control management procedures for reservoirs upstream of the Delta to reduce potential Delta flooding.   | potential Delta flooding - use existing operational criteria.  |   | Less emphasis than Proposed Project on modifying flood control management procedures for reservoirs upstream of the Delta to reduce potential Delta flooding - initiate evaluations of potential changes in operations.  | programs to reduce risk of loss of life with voluntary participation - same as No Project Alternative.  Reoperation of Upstream Reservoirs  * Same as Proposed Project.  | with voluntary participation - same as No Project Alternative.  Reoperation of Upstream Reservoirs  * Less emphasis than Proposed Project on modifying flood control management procedures for reservoirs upstream of the Delta to reduce potential Delta flooding - same as No Project Alternative.   | Less emphasis than Proposed Project for Delta-focused emergency preparation and emergency response programs to reduce risk of loss of life with voluntary participation - same as No Project Alternative.  Reoperation of Upstream Reservoirs      Same as Proposed Project.   |

| ATTACH   | IMENT 2   |   |  |   |   |   |   |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|
|  | ,   |   | NARY DRAFT - SUMMARY OF CONCEPTUA  |   | S(Working Draft June 14, 2011)<br>ditional details will be provided in the Draft E  | IR for each alternative)  |   |
| DELTA PLAN<br>POLICY AREAS   | PROPOSED PROJECT<br>(Based on Fourth Staff Draft Delta Plan)  | NO PROJECT ALTERNATIVE  | ALTERNATIVE 1 - INCREASED EMPHASIS ON USE OF DELTA FOR RELIABLE WATER SUPPLIES   |   | ALTERNATIVE 2 - INCREASED EMPHASIS ON DELTA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION   |   | ALTERNATIVE 3 - INCREASED<br>EMPHASIS ON PROTECTION AND<br>ENHANCEMENT OF DELTA   |
|  |   |   | ALTERNATIVE 1A<br>(Based upon comments received from<br>users of Delta water)  | ALTERNATIVE 1B<br>(Based on "Alternate Delta Plan" -<br>Association of California Water<br>Agencies, June 10, 2011) | ALTERNATIVE 2A (Based upon comments received from environmental resource agencies and stakeholders)   | ALTERNATIVE 2B<br>(Based on "California Water Solutions<br>Now" - Environmental Water Caucus,<br>2011)  | COMMUNITIES AND CULTURE (Based upon comments received from Delta communities and agencies)  |
| Protect and Enhance the Unique Cultural, Recreational, Natural Resources, and Agricultural Values of the California Delta as an Evolving Place | * More emphasis than Existing Conditions on development of Safe Harbor agreements <sup>2</sup> throughout the Delta.  * Natural, Agricultural, and Cultural Heritage  * More emphasis than Existing Conditions on designation of portions of the Delta to promote natural, agricultural, and cultural heritage and support economic benefits. | Less emphasis than Proposed Project without the development of Safe Harbor agreements throughout the Delta.      Natural, Agricultural, and Cultural Heritage      Less emphasis than Proposed Project on designation of portions of the Delta to promote natural, agricultural, and cultural heritage and support economic benefits - same as Existing Conditions. | * Same as Proposed Project.  Natural, Agricultural, and Cultural Heritage  * Less emphasis than Proposed Project on designation of portions of the Delta to promote natural, agricultural, and cultural heritage and support economic benefits - same as No Project Alternative. | * Same as Proposed Project.  Natural, Agricultural, and Cultural Heritage  * Same as Proposed Project.              | Less emphasis than Proposed Project without the development of Safe Harbor agreements throughout the Delta - same as No Project.  Natural, Agricultural, and Cultural Heritage  * Same as Proposed Project. | Land Use and Resource Management  Less emphasis than Proposed Project without the development of Safe Harbor agreements throughout the Delta - same as No Project.  Natural, Agricultural, and Cultural Heritage  Same as Proposed Project. | * Same as Proposed Project.  Natural, Agricultural, and Cultural Heritage  * More emphasis than Proposed Project to enhance agricultural and tourism land uses that protects water quality. |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Safe Harbor Agreements are voluntary agreements between U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and cooperating non-federal landowners to provide assurances to landowners that at no future time would the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service impose restrictions on their land as a result of ecosystem conservation actions implemented by the landowners.